RECEIVED CITY CLERK

2008 JAN 24 P 3: 39

## BEFORE THE CITY COUNCIL

## OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF:

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES on behalf of the CITY OF LAS VEGAS, NEVADA,

Petitioner,

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

vs.

VETERANS OF FOREIGN WARS (VFW) POST NO. 10057,

Respondent.

Comes now, REX BELL, ESQ., on behalf of the VETERANS OF FOREIGN WARS (VFW) POST NO. 10057, and answers the Complaint for Disciplinary Action as follows:

1. As to Summary of Allegations, it is true, Respondent holds a license but deny that the license permits sale and consumption of alcohol to bonafide members of the club on the premises only. The license permits sale of alcoholic beverages to members and their guests so long as they are on the premises and not outside.

On information and belief, deny selling beverages to underage people. It is true that at one time the VFW Hall did allow its members to drink alcoholic beverages in the parking lot but because of complaints have told their members that they are not to drink in the parking lot.

On information and belief, deny that VFW Post 10057 had been unwilling to work with members of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.

Page 1

Sibmitted after final agenda

This Complaint is very general and somewhat difficult to answer because of the generalities.

Deny that VFW post 10057 operates as a public nuisance.

Admit that on a couple of occasions, exact dates unknown employees were present without their work card or alcohol awareness card.

Deny, on information and belief, that there were 188 calls for service from the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.

VFW Post 10057 admits that they have held a club liquor license in the City of Las Vegas since 1968.

On information and belief, deny the events of April 5, 2005. We have no recollection of the event of May 3, 2005; or of May 17, 2005. As to event of May 19, 2005, this event may have happened. Mr. Albert Young has since that time obtained his key employee license.

Deny on information and belief the event of July 14, 2005. Mr. Whittle is not a key employee.

Deny on information and belief the event of August 2, 2005.

As to September 30, 2005, this may possibly have happened but has been taken care of.

As to January 6, 2006, on information and belief, deny the events.

As to February 8, 2007, the fight occurred across the street.

As to March 2, 2007, admit that event and it was taken care of.

As to April 30, 2007, deny as have no knowledge of two people drinking in the bar which had been served by the on-duty employee. Admit that Alfred Young could not supply a list of current members as it was locked up.

As to May 1, 2007, admit that at the time this occurred they were not open for business and took care of that problem immediately.

As to July 13, 2007, Patricia Roberson had a card but not on her and we do not know if the employee list was available.

As to July 19, 2007, the fight involving approximately 20 people occurred across the street.

On information and belief, deny the allegations of July 30, 2007, as we have no knowledge of same.

The event of September 2, 2007, had nothing to do with members of VFW Post 10057 or their guests. This was a situation a person was under the influence of PCP and other individuals were trying to restrain him.

Events of September 18, 2007, deny on information and belief, as we have no knowledge of this.

October 11, 2007, we admit. These people were removed from the property by security and Post was not aware that they came back on property and that there was a stabbing until after it happened.

November 2, 2007, VFW Post 10057 does not sell tobacco and were not aware that the coin operated machines, being pool tables, were supposed to be posted. These are now posted and we are not aware what employees, if any, did not have their alcohol awareness cards.

The event of November 7, 2007, we know that two individuals came to the club and asked another person to step outside. This was a family dispute situation and noone was aware that these individuals had this individual strip naked and walk home and/or rob him. It is true that the on-duty bar manager said he would have done worse if it had been him and this was improper conduct.

In a discussion between January 2005 and November 2007 there is an indication that there were 188 calls for service. VFW Post 10057 indicates they have never made that many calls and they suspect a number of these calls were made from the public telephone outside. They have over the years called Metro on somewhere between 20 to 25 times to respond when there have been problems in the club or in the parking lot.

In closing it is to be noted that VFW Post 10057 was issued a permanent liquor license in May of 2007, so all issues of 2005 and 2006 up to May of 2007 were addressed and we do not

understand why they were being readdressed. In fact at the City Council meeting when the permanent liquor license was issued, both the LVMPD and City Business Licensing commented that they were cooperative.

In the allegation we deny that we have operated a business which constitutes a public nuisance. We deny selling liquor to minors, deny that we have created a lawless environment within which robberies, shootings and batteries have occurred. There is one key employee and he is licensed with the City and there have been several occasions where employees came to work without their work cards or alcohol awareness cards.

Deny that we purposely or carelessly are breaking commitments to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department and/or the Las Vegas Business Licensing Division.

WHEREFORE, Respondents request that the Complaint for Disciplinary Action be denied.

## **REX BELL & ASSOCIATES**

Receipt of copy of the foregoing Answer to Complaint for Disciplinary Action is hereby acknowledged this 2444 day of January 2008.

LAS VEGAS CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

400 Stewart Avenue, 9th Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Page 4